DUNCAN HUNTER
820 DISTRICT, CALFORNIA
COMMITTEE ON NATIONAL RECURSIV

CHAIRMAN
BLIECOMMITTEE ON
MILITARY PROCUMENSAT

BLIECOMMITTEE ON
MILITARY PLADINGS



2365 RAYBURN BUR, DING WASHINGTON, DC 28515-0852 (202) 225-5672 FAX: (302) 225-0239

366 SOUTH PIERCE STREET EL CAJON, CA 92920 18191 579-3001

1101 AIRPORT ROAD, SUITE G IMPERIAL, CA 92251 17001 353-6438

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

April 1, 1998

CONTACT: Maureen Cragin Ryan Vaart (202) 225-2539

STATEMENT OF HONORABLE DUNCAN HUNTER CHAIRMAN, MILITARY PROCUREMENT SUBCOMMITTEE LONG-RANGE AIRPOWER HEARING

April 1, 1998

This morning the subcommittee meets to hear testimony from General Larry D. Welch, former Commander-in-Chief Strategic Air Command and Air Force Chief of Staff, and current President of the Institute for Defense Analyses.

Because of his unique experience and expertise, General Welch was asked to chair the Panel on Long-range Airpower, which was established by the fiscal year 1998 DOD Appropriations Act. This panel's charter was to "evaluate the adequacy of current planning for United States long-range airpower and the requirement for continued low rate production of B-2 stealth bombers."

The panel recently issued its findings, all of which are of great interest to the subcommittee. It concluded that long-range airpower is an increasingly important element of U.S. military capability, that the demand for long-range airpower is likely to increase in the future, and that stealth significantly improves survivability against heavily defended targets.

At the same time, however, the panel concluded that the B-2 funding provided by Congress last year should be used to support and upgrade the existing fleet of 21 B-2 bombers, rather than acquisition of additional aircraft.

Our subcommittee has long noted that our access to overseas bases is declining and the sophistication of defensive systems continues to grow. Consequently, many of us agree with the conclusions of the panel on the importance of long-range airpower and stealth.

But it is precisely because we do understand the importance of a survivable, long-range bomber force, that can deliver precision guided weapons, that many of us have advocated continued acquisition of the B-2. Consequently, I am looking forward to General Welch's more detailed explanation of his panel's conclusions concerning additional B-2s.

I think it would also be useful to explore in more depth the panel's conclusions as they pertain to the future of the bomber force as a whole.